168 Field-Angle Resolved Zero Energy Density of States for UPt₃

Department of Physics, Okayama University Y. Tsutsumi, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida

Thermal conductivity Phase diagram Ş Determination of gap functions H // b Н//а H // b 2.5 which satisfy the following conditions UPt_3 (H \perp c) (a) normal phase 2.0 line node spin-triplet (F) 1.5 twofold symmetry in C phase Field 1.0 T/T_{c} $\theta = 90 \text{ deg.}$ $|\mu_0 H| = 3.0$ T T = 50 mK0.9 E_{1u} representation C phase 0.5 ν (φ)/κ^u B $\lambda_a = k_a (5k_c^2 - 1), \ \lambda_b = k_b (5k_c^2 - 1)$ 0.0 2.5 UPt₃ (H//c) $\pm 1\%$ of κ_n UPt_3 (H//c) 1.0 T 2.0 $\hat{a}\lambda_b$ 0.3 (C) B phase.





H∥c

We have compared thermal conductivity and field-angle resolved zero energy density of states for this E_{1u} model and the other E_{1g} and E_{2u} models.

Quasi-Classical Theory

Introduction



Order parameter **Eilenberger equation** $H \parallel c$ $H \parallel b$ $H \parallel a$ $-i\hbar\boldsymbol{v}_F\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\widehat{g}(\boldsymbol{k}_F,\boldsymbol{r},\omega_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (i\omega_n - \boldsymbol{v}_F\cdot\boldsymbol{A})\widehat{1} & -\widehat{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_F,\boldsymbol{r}) \\ \widehat{\Delta}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}_F,\boldsymbol{r}) & -(i\omega_n - \boldsymbol{v}_F\cdot\boldsymbol{A})\widehat{1} \end{pmatrix}, \widehat{g}(\boldsymbol{k}_F,\boldsymbol{r},\omega_n) \end{bmatrix}$ $\widehat{g} = -i\pi \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{g} & i\widehat{f} \\ -i\widehat{f} & -\widehat{g} \end{pmatrix}$ $\hat{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{A}$ b/ξ $0\frac{c}{\xi}$ $0 \frac{c}{\xi}$ **Self-consistent condition** -5 -5 -10 -10 -10 $\hat{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_{F},\boldsymbol{r}) = N_{0}\pi k_{B}T \sum_{-\omega_{c} \leq \omega_{n} \leq \omega_{c}} \left\langle V(\boldsymbol{k}_{F},\boldsymbol{k}_{F}')\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{k}_{F}',\boldsymbol{r},\omega_{n}) \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{k}_{F}'}$ -10 -5 0 a/ξ -10 -5 0 5 10 a/ξ -10 -5 0 5 10 b/ξ 5 10 Zero energy LDOS $oldsymbol{A} = oldsymbol{B} imes oldsymbol{r}/2 + oldsymbol{a} \ oldsymbol{ abla} imes oldsymbol{ abla} imes oldsymbol{N} imes oldsymbol{A} = oldsymbol{B} imes oldsymbol{r}/2 + oldsymbol{a} \ oldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{s}} = -rac{2T}{\kappa^2} \sum_{0 \leq \omega_n \leq \omega_c} ig\langle oldsymbol{v}_F \mathrm{Im}\{g_0\} ig angle_{oldsymbol{k}_F}$ c/ξ c/ξ b/ξ $\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_0 + g_z & g_x - ig_y \\ q_x + iq_y & q_0 - q_z \end{pmatrix}$ **Density of states (DOS)** -5 -10 -10 -10 $N(E) = \frac{1}{S} \int dSN(\boldsymbol{r}, E) = \frac{1}{S} \int dSN_0 \left\langle \operatorname{Re}[g_0(\boldsymbol{k}_F, \boldsymbol{r}, \omega_n)|_{i\omega_n \to E + i\eta}] \right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{k}_F}$ 5 10 -10 -5 -10 -5 5 10 -10 -5 0 a/ξ a/ξ Numerical calculation Field-angle resolved zero energy DOS

 $egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 5 & 10 \ b/\xi \end{array}$

 \mathcal{O} C phase: $V(\boldsymbol{k}_F, \boldsymbol{k}'_F) \propto \lambda_b(\boldsymbol{k}_F) \lambda_b(\boldsymbol{k}'_F)$, fixed spin state Fermi sphere triangular lattice GL parameter: $\kappa = 60$ $T = 0.2T_c, B = 0.05 (B_{c2} \sim 1)$ $\eta = 0.01\pi k_B T_c$

-Summary

New E_{1u} model is consistent with the recent experiment of thermal conductivity and previous experiments. Direct evidence of E_{1u} model is expected in vortex state. Vortex lattice structure in C phase by twofold symmetry Unconventional vortex in B phase by multicomponent

// antinode $N(0)/N_0$ 0.41 0.4 H // node H//antinode $\theta(\text{deg.})$ Comparison with thermal conductivity ⊻ 0.05 0°))/ C phase We have subtracted ZEDOS along nodal direction from that along antinodal direction to remove influence of heat current. ô The only E_{1u} model has a maximum at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ by tropical line nodes. <u>ق</u> -0.05 This behavior is consistent 90 with thermal conductivity. θ (deg.)

Y. Machida et al., arXiv:1107.3082v1.

 $\Delta/\pi k_B T_c$

8.0

0.6

0.4

0.2

 $N(0)/N_{0}$

1.5

0.5

de)//V

180